Tracy, Mary

From:

OFFICE RECEPTIONIST, CLERK

Sent:

Monday, August 20, 2018 2:12 PM

To:

Tracy, Mary

Subject:

FW: Comment on proposed rules CrR 3.8 and CrRU 3.8

Attachments:

CrR 3.8-suggested.pdf; CrRLJ 3.8-suggested.pdf

From: Terry Bloor [mailto:Terry.Bloor@co.benton.wa.us]

Sent: Monday, August 20, 2018 1:21 PM

To: OFFICE RECEPTIONIST, CLERK <SUPREME@COURTS.WA.GOV> **Subject:** Comment on proposed rules CrR 3.8 and CrRLJ 3.8

Caselaw and legislation have never required that the eyewitness identification procedure be video or audio recorded. This is very impractical for police dealing with identifications by witnesses at a show-up or a photo array given to a witness at his or her residence or place of employment.

Also, the proposed rule allows a court to declare an identification inadmissible not because the identification procedure was suggestive, but because the police did not properly document dialog between the witness and the officer, other people present at the identification procedure or people the witness talked to before, during or after the procedure. These factors could be considered by a jury in determining what weight to give the identification. But to not allow a witness or victim who has made a valid identification, free from any suggestion from the police, is unfair to the witness and unnecessary to the defendant's right to a fair trial.

Terry J. Bloor, *Chief Deputy, Criminal* **Benton County Prosecuting Attorney**7122 West Okanogan Place, Bldg. "A"
Kennewick WA 99336

PH: 509.735.3591 FAX: 509.736.3066

EM: terry.bloor@co.benton.wa.us

SUGGESTED NEW CRIMINAL RULE CrR 3.8

(4) If a live lineup, a photo of the lineup; if the identification procedure includes movements, a video of the identification procedure; if the identification procedure includes speaking, an audio recording of the speaking and a photo of the identification procedure;

(5) If a photo lineup, the photographic array, mug books or digital photographs used, including an unaltered, accurate copy of the photographs used, and an accurate copy upon which the witness indicated his or her selection:

(6) The identity of persons who witnessed the live lineup, photo lineup, or showup, including the location of such witnesses and whether those witnesses could be seen by the witness making the identification decision;

(7) The identity of any individuals with whom the witness has spoken about the identification, at any time before, during, or immediately after the official identification procedure, and a detailed summary of what was said. This includes the identification of both law enforcement officials and private actors who are not associated with law enforcement.

(c) Remedy: If the record that is prepared is lacking in important details as to what occurred at the out-of-court identification procedure, and if it was feasible to obtain and preserve those details, the court may, in its sound discretion and consistent with appropriate case law, declare the identification inadmissible, redact portions of the identification testimony, admit expert testimony, and/or fashion an appropriate jury instruction to be used in evaluating the reliability of the identification.

25

(4) If a live lineup, a photo of the lineup; if the identification procedure includes movements, a video of the identification procedure; if the identification procedure includes speaking, an audio recording of the speaking and a photo of the identification procedure;

(5) If a photo lineup, the photographic array, mug books or digital photographs used, including an unaltered, accurate copy of the photographs used, and an accurate copy upon which the witness indicated his or her selection;

(6) The identity of persons who witnessed the live lineup, photo lineup, or showup, including the location of such witnesses and whether those witnesses could be seen by the witness:

(7) The identity of any individuals with whom the witness has spoken about the identification, at any time before, during, or immediately after the official identification procedure, and a detailed summary of what was said. This includes the identification of both law enforcement officials and private actors who are not associated with law enforcement.

(c) Remedy. If the record that is prepared is lacking in important details as to what occurred at the out-of-court identification procedure, and if it was feasible to obtain and preserve those details, the court may, in its sound discretion and consistent with appropriate case law, declare the identification inadmissible, redact portions of the identification testimony, admit expert testimony, and/or fashion an appropriate jury instruction to be used in evaluating the reliability of the identification.